

Law & Economics Contract Law - Introduction

Prof. Dr. iur. Andreas Heinemann, Dipl.-Ök.

Two Different Systems

1. Common Law

2. Civil Law

Structural Differences

- 1. Common Law: Separate Fields
- Contracts
- Torts
- 2. Civil Law: Unified Concepts

Switzerland: The Code of Obligations covers at the same time

- Contracts and
- Torts
- → Contracts and torts are just another way for obligations to arise. Once an obligation is created, the same rules apply.

1. Common Law

Contracts: Bargain Theory

- Cooter/Ulen, p. 277: "Traditionally, courts have been cautious about enforcing promises that are not given in exchange for something."
- → Three main building blocks of a contract
- 1. Consent of the parties
- 2. Object of the contract
- 3. Consideration (anything of value; quid pro quo)

2. Civil Law

Contracts: Theory of Consent

Cooter/Ulen, p. 279: "meeting of minds"

See Art. 1 Swiss Code of Obligations:

1 The conclusion of a contract requires a mutual expression of intent by the parties.

2 The expression of intent may be express or implied.

2. Civil Law

- → Two main building blocks of a contract
- 1. Offer (containing the *essentialia negotii*, inter alia contracting party, object and price)
- 2. Corresponding acceptance (containing the same essentialia negotii)

2. Civil Law

- → No consideration is required (*do ut des* concerns only specific types of contracts, i.e. reciprocal contracts).
- → Overhasty promises are subject to formal requirements.

See for example the rules on gifts in the Swiss CO:

Art. 243 (1) The promise of a gift is valid only if done in writing.

Art. 242 (1) A gift from hand to hand is made when the donor presents the object to the recipient.

3. Comparison

- The spirit of civil law is conceptual and philosophical ("consent"): The binding effect of contracts is based on liberty and autonomy. Contracts are valid because the parties want them to be valid.
- The spirit of common law is rooted in the economic reality of reciprocal agreements. The approach is utilitarian, i.e. welfare is to be maximized. No (enforceable) contract without consideration.

Comparison

Cheshire/Fifoot: "An Englishman is liable, not because he has made a promise, but because he has made a bargain."

→ The starting point of L&E is Common Law.