



**Universität
Zürich^{UZH}**

Rechtswissenschaftliches Institut

Introduction to Swiss Civil Procedure

Fall Semester 2022

Prof. Dr. Ulrich Haas



Legislation on civil procedure

- Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (Zivilprozessordnung, ZPO) 2008 [entry into force: 2011]
- Swiss Debt Enforcement and Insolvency Act (Schuldbetreibungs- und Konkursgesetz, SchKG) 1889 [eif 1892] / major reform 1994 [eif 1997]
- Federal Patent Court Act (Bundespatentgerichtsgesetz, BPatGG) 2009 [eif 2010]
- [Federal Act on Federal Civil Procedure (Bundesgesetz über den Bundeszivilprozess, BZP)] 1947 [eif 1948]
- Swiss Federal Court Act (Bundesgerichtsgesetz, BGG) 2005 [eif 2007]
- Bundesgesetz über das Internationale Privatrecht (IPRG) 1987 [eif 1989]
- Cantonal legislation on court organisation and subject-matter jurisdiction (eg Court Organisation Act of the Canton of Zurich [GOG])



Legislation on civil procedure

Adjudication	Enforcement
<p>Cantonal courts, Federal Patent Court: ZPO [/BPatGG] Federal court (appeals): BGG [/BZP] Federal court (1st instance): BZP</p>	<p>Money claims: SchKG Non-money-claims: ZPO</p>
<p>Arbitration Domestic: ZPO International: IPRG</p>	<p>Insolvency: SchKG</p>



Civil courts

Federal Court (Bundesgericht)

2nd instance cantonal court

single-
instance
cantonal
court

Federal
Patent Court

1st instance
cantonal
court

[conciliation
authority]



Swiss judges

- Professional and lay judges
- Elections
- Influence of political parties



Swiss lawyers

- Federal Act on the Free Movement of Lawyers (Bundesgesetz über die Freizügigkeit der Anwältinnen und Anwälte, BGFA)
- Cantonal rules on bar admission
- No requirement to be represented by a lawyer in civil proceedings
- Professional representation before courts: lawyers' monopoly
- Federal Court: representation only by lawyers (but litigants in person also admitted)



Jurisdiction

- Subject-matter jurisdiction: determined by cantonal law within parameters set by ZPO
- Territorial jurisdiction
 - Traditional Swiss approach: defendant's domicile

Article 30(2) Swiss Federal Constitution

Unless otherwise provided by law, any person against whom civil proceedings have been raised has the right to have their case decided by a court within the jurisdiction in which they reside.

- Influence of Lugano Convention
- Today: Many exceptions from domicile principle



Mandatory conciliation

- Principle: mandatory pre-trial conciliation (with exceptions)
- About 50% of cases settled at conciliation stage
 - & large percentage of money claims filtered out through enforcement proceedings without prior judgment
- Cantonal rules on organisation of conciliation authority (court, justice of the peace, specialised administrative authority)
- Alternative: mediation (rarely used)



Mandatory conciliation – possible outcomes

- dismissal for groundlessness (plaintiff's default)
- settlement, acceptance, withdrawal
 - same effect as binding judgment
- authorisation to proceed (*Klagebewilligung*)
 - case may be brought before court within 3 months (no automatic initiation of court proceedings!)
- judgment (*Entscheid*)
 - up to 2000 CHF
 - claimant's request; discretion of conciliation authority
- proposed judgment (*Urteilsvorschlag*)
 - up to 5000 CHF (gender equality/tenancy: no upper limit)



Proceedings before the trial court

- Ordinary procedure (*ordentliches Verfahren*)
 - claims over CHF 30'000 / claims without monetary value
 - often dominated by written elements
 - emphasis on formalities, strict party responsibility, *de facto* (but not *de iure*) necessity of representation by lawyer
- Simplified procedure (*vereinfachtes Verfahren*)
 - claims up to CHF 30'000 (some types of claims regardless of value)
 - less formal, more orality, more judicial involvement
- Summary procedure (*summarisches Verfahren*)
- Special procedures in family law matters



Proceedings before the trial court

- Structure of ordinary proceedings
 - exchange of written statements
 - (statement of claim, statement of defence)
 - if ordered by court: replication [*Replik*], rejoinder [*Duplik*]
 - at court's discretion: instruction hearing (*Instruktionsverhandlung*)
 - main hearing (waiver by parties possible)



Proceedings before the trial court

- New factual allegations and evidence
 - two unrestricted opportunities
 - two written statements for each party or
 - one written statement for each party and instruction hearing where new allegations and evidence can be brought forward (*i.e. instruction hearing not restricted to e.g. settlement discussions*) or
 - one written statement for each party and beginning of oral hearing (before parties' statements under Article 228 ZPO)



Proceedings before the trial court

- New factual allegations and evidence (continued)
 - admissibility of late allegations
 - newly-arising facts [and evidence?]: admissible if introduced without delay
 - pre-existing facts and evidence: admissible if they could not have been introduced earlier despite reasonable diligence & introduced without delay
 - latest possibility for all new facts and evidence: beginning of the deliberation of the judgment
 - where facts are established *ex officio*, new allegations and evidence are allowed until the beginning of the deliberation of the judgment without restriction



Proceedings before the trial court

- Relationship between the judge and the parties
 - Formal aspects of proceedings (service of documents, scheduling hearings etc.): responsibility of court
 - Substantive case management?
 - principle of party presentation
 - duty to ask questions and give instructions (scope disputed; courts often reluctant, in particular towards parties represented by lawyers)
 - taking evidence ex officio (powers broad in theory, but rarely used in practice)



Proceedings before the trial court

- Evidence
 - types of evidence enumerated in ZPO (witness testimony, documents, inspection, court-appointed experts, written statements, party interrogation)
 - no party-appointed experts (such expertise only qualifies as party's assertion, not as evidence)
 - free evaluation of evidence
 - “anticipated evaluation” of evidence?
 - evidence that is irrelevant, inadmissible or (objectively) unfit for purpose
 - problematic: assessment of credibility before taking of evidence



Proceedings before the trial court

- Evidence (continued)
 - duty to cooperate and right to refuse
 - unjustified refusal by party taken into account when appraising evidence
 - unjustified refusal by third party: compulsion
 - illegally obtained evidence: balancing of interests



Effects of judgments and settlements

- both judgments and settlements become *res judicata* (exact meaning for settlements unclear)
- settlement leads to termination of the proceedings *ipso iure* (i.e. without a judgment)



Effects of judgments and settlements

- *res judicata*
 - between the parties (*inter partes*)
 - limited to the object of the dispute (*Streitgegenstand*), no collateral estoppel/issue preclusion
- third-party intervention (*Nebenintervention*) and third-party notice (*Streitverkündung*): binding effect of reasons that are detrimental to the party in whose favour the third party intervened or who issued the notice (Articles 77 and 80 ZPO)




Appeals against judgments

- Appeal (*Berufung*) and objection (*Beschwerde*) to higher cantonal court
 - depending on type of judgment and value of the dispute
 - appeal: full review
 - objection: full review on points of law; facts: only “obviously incorrect” establishment
- Civil appeal (*Beschwerde in Zivilsachen*) and subsidiary constitutional complaint (*subsidiäre Verfassungsbeschwerde*) to the Federal Court



Enforcement of money debts





Insolvency

- Bankruptcy proceedings as debt enforcement (for merchants/businesses; no requirement of insolvency)
- Bankruptcy proceedings without prior enforcement
 - at a creditor’s demand
 - at the debtor’s demand
- Composition proceedings (*Nachlassverfahren*)
- Distribution of assets
- After insolvency: “no new property” exception (but no discharge of residual debt [yet])